

# ABSTRACT No. 4.

**CERTIFICATE OF RESULT of Official Count of Votes Cast for Candidates for State Senator, Representative to General Assembly, County Commissioner, County Auditor, Judge of the Court of Appeals, Judge of the Court of Common Pleas, Judge of the Probate Court and Unexpired Terms in** ROSS **County, at the**  
**General Election held November 2, 1954.**

For What Office and NAMES OF PERSONS VOTED FOR	Politics	Number of Votes	For What Office and NAMES OF PERSONS VOTED FOR	Politics	Number of Votes
<b>STATE SENATOR</b> <u>5th-6th</u> District ( <u>1</u> to be elected ) LOWELL FESS REED M. WINEGARDNER	R  D	7834  6017	<b>COUNTY AUDITOR</b> WILLIAM G. MARSH RALPH C. SCOTT	Rep. Dem.	9241 5675
<b>JUDGE OF THE COURT OF APPEALS</b> <u>4th</u> District ROY J. GILLEN		7152	<b>JUDGE OF THE COURT OF COMMON PLEAS</b> ( <u>0</u> to be elected )		
<b>REPRESENTATIVE TO THE GENERAL ASSEMBLY</b> ( <u>1</u> to be elected ) FLOYD I. RITTENOUR MARY ANNE SCHIEGEL	R D	8226 6541	<b>JUDGE OF THE PROBATE COURT</b> ( <u>1</u> to be elected ) KENNETH T. STEVENS		9856



Bar COUNTY

SS.

The Board of Elections within and for Bar County, Ohio, hereby certifies that, in the above named County, at the Election held November 2, 1954, the official vote cast for Candidates for Governor, Lieutenant Governor, Secretary of State, Treasurer of State and Attorney General, and the Number of electors voting, was as follows:

FOR GOVERNOR

FRANK J. LAUSCHE (Dem.) received 7803 votes

JAMES A. RHODES (Rep.) received 8105 votes

FOR LIEUTENANT GOVERNOR

JOHN W. BROWN (Rep.) received 8288 votes

GEORGE D. NYE (Dem.) received 6671 votes

FOR SECRETARY OF STATE

TED W. BROWN (Rep.) received 8525 votes

ROBERT W. REIDER (Dem.) received 5671 votes

FOR TREASURER OF STATE

JOSEPH T. FERGUSON (Dem.) received 6446 votes

ROGER W. TRACY (Rep.) received 8130 votes

FOR ATTORNEY GENERAL

C. WILLIAM O'NEILL (Rep.) received 8458 votes

PAUL F. WARD (Dem.) received 5702 votes

NUMBER OF ELECTORS VOTING IN COUNTY 16389

NUMBER OF PRECINCTS IN COUNTY 61

BOND ISSUES

SOUTHEASTERN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	N.FRK.	S.FRK.	HARR.	JEFF.	E.LIB.	W.LIB.	E.SPR.	E.SCI.	TOTAL
FOR	56	69	84	195	167	62	15	5	653
AGAINST	6	10	52	48	69	13	10	-	208

UNION SCIOTO LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	W.SCI.	E.UNION	W.UNION	TOTAL
FOR	130	64	165	359
AGAINST	42	34	39	115

(474)

FIRE PROTECTION TAX LEVY

DEERFIELD TOWNSHIP

	DEERFIELD	CLARKSBURG	TOTAL
✓ <u>FOR THE TAX LEVY</u>	102	108	210
<u>AGAINST THE TAX LEVY</u>	14	31	45

LIBERTY TOWNSHIP

	EAST LIB.	WEST LIB.	TOTAL
✓ <u>FOR THE TAX LEVY</u>	186	91	277
<u>AGAINST THE TAX LEVY</u>	45	15	60

VILLAGE TAX LEVY

✓ CLARKSBURG VILLAGE

<u>FOR THE TAX LEVY</u>	129
<u>AGAINST THE TAX LEVY</u>	27

✓ ADELPHI VILLAGE

<u>FOR THE TAX LEVY</u>	63
<u>AGAINST THE TAX LEVY</u>	17

TAX LEVIES

✓ ADELPHI LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	ADELPHI	E. COLERAIN	TOTAL
FOR	94	-	94
AGAINST	14	-	14

✓ BUCKSKIN VALLEY LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	N. BUCK.	SOUTH-S. SALEM	PAINT	TOTAL
FOR	52	143	65	260
AGAINST	10	39	25	74

✓ CENTRALIA LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	E. COL.	W. COL.	N. GREEN	S. GREEN	E. SPR.	W. SPR.	TOTAL
FOR	35	84	43	96	83	45	386
AGAINST	8	46	24	62	35	14	189

✓ DEERFIELD LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	CLARKS.	DEER.	E. UNION	W. UNION	DEERCREEK	PERRY	TOTAL
FOR	120	140	18	173	13	-	291
AGAINST	31	26	7	75	10	-	74

✓ HUNTINGTON LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	N. HUNT.	S. HUNT.	TOTAL
FOR	206	114	320
AGAINST	52	43	95

TAX LEVIES

✓ SOUTHEASTERN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	N.FRK.	S.FRK.	HARR.	JEFF.	EYLIB.	W.LIB.	E.SPR.	E.SCI.	TOTAL
FOR	62	62	98	190	189	59	13	3	676
AGAINST	9	9	52	42	62	15	14	-	203

✓ SOUTH SCIOTO LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	E.SCI.	S.SCI.	W.SCI.	TOTAL
FOR	10	162	9	181
AGAINST	1	39	5	45

✓ TWIN LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	N.TWIN	S.TWIN	PAINT	TOTAL
FOR	132	157	15	304
AGAINST	26	29	2	57

✓ UNION SCIOTO LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	W.SCI.	E.UNION	W.UNION	TOTAL
FOR	148	64	172	384
AGAINST	59	30	45	134

WAYNE LOCAL SCHOOL DISTRICT

	AUSTIN	TOTAL
FOR	-	-
AGAINST	-	-
REORGANIZATION:		
FOR	1	1
AGAINST	-	-

LIBERTY TOWNSHIP

LOCAL OPTION

	<u>E. LIB.</u>	<u>W. LIB.</u>	<u>Tot.</u>
Question #1 - Shall the sale of any intoxicating liquor be permitted in Liberty Township?	YES <u>98</u>	<u>51</u>	149
	NO <u>209</u>	<u>109</u>	318
Question #2 - Shall the sale of wine by the package for consumption off the premises where sold, be permitted in Liberty Township?	YES <u>96</u>	<u>46</u>	142
	NO <u>203</u>	<u>107</u>	310
Question #3 - Shall the sale of wine for consumption on and off the premises where sold, be permitted in Liberty Township?	YES <u>55</u>	<u>29</u>	84
	NO <u>223</u>	<u>114</u>	337
Question #4 - Shall the sale of spirituous liquors by the glass be permitted in Liberty Township?	YES <u>42</u>	<u>29</u>	71
	NO <u>235</u>	<u>118</u>	353
Question #5 - Shall State liquor stores for the sale of spirituous liquor by the package, for consumption off the premises where sold, be permitted in Liberty Township?	YES <u>49</u>	<u>36</u>	85
	NO <u>230</u>	<u>114</u>	344



BAINBRIDGE VILLAGE

LOCAL OPTION

- Question #1 - Shall the sale of any intoxicating liquor be permitted in the incorporated Village of Bainbridge?
- YES 241  
NO 167
- Question #2 - Shall the sale of wine by the package for consumption off the premises where sold, be permitted in the incorporated Village of Bainbridge?
- YES 221  
NO 175
- Question #3 - Shall the sale of wine for consumption on and off the premises where sold, be permitted in the incorporated Village of Bainbridge?
- YES 218  
NO 173
- Question #4 - Shall the sale of spirituous liquors by the glass be permitted in the incorporated Village of Bainbridge?
- YES 235  
NO 160
- Question #5 - Shall State liquor stores for the sale of spirituous liquor by the package, for consumption off the premises where sold, be permitted in the incorporated Village of Bainbridge?
- YES 225  
NO 165